



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,948	12/22/2000	Kazuo Nagatani	FUSA 18.164	2119
26304 75	7590 05/13/2004		EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN			WILLIAMS, LAWRENCE B	
575 MADISON	I AVENUE NY 10022-2585		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TIEW TORKS, I	10022 2303		2634	1
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Hes			
	Application No	Applicant(s)			
	09/745,948	NAGATANI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lawrence B Williams	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community in the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may unication. )) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of ututory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) N will, by statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirly (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>22 December 2000</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL.	②b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the praction	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (	D.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the a	pplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ai	e withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are allowed.	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 December	<u>^ 2000</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b	)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	· ·	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attac	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	documents have been received.				
<u> </u>	documents have been received in	· ·			
·	· ·	en received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office actio	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	not received			
Gee the attached detailed Office actio	in for a list of the certified copies f	iot received.			
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948) Paper I	No(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1.	PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice 6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to because:
  - a.) Examiner suggests applicant replace the label for item 3, DA in Fig. 23 with D/A.
  - b.) Examiner suggests applicant replace the label for item 3, DA in Fig. 25 with D/A.
  - c.) Examiner suggests applicant replace the label for item 12, AD in Fig. 25with A/D.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Examiner suggests applicant rewrite the first paragraph of page 1 for clarification purposes.

  Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Examiner suggests applicant replace DA with "D/A" on line 23 of page 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2634

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Examiner suggests applicant replace DA with "D/A" on line 7 of page 12. Examiner suggests applicant fix any possible remaining occurrences of this defect in the specification.

Page 3

Appropriate correction is required.

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Examiner suggests applicant replace AD with "A/A\D" on line 7 of page 13. Examiner suggests applicant fix any possible remaining occurrences of this defect in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Reference character "51c" has been used to designate both power amplifier and feedback system in line 4 of page 13.
- 7. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### Claim Objections

8. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 recites the limitation "said device" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 9. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a.) Examiner suggests applicant place an "a" between at and sampling in line 3 of the claim.
- b.) Claim 2 recites the limitation "the correlation" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Examiner suggests applicant us an indefinite article, "a".
- c.) Claim 2 recites the limitation "the phase difference" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Examiner suggests applicant us an indefinite article, "a".

Appropriate correction is required.

10. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3 recites the limitation "said delay time decision unit" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

11. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: Examiner suggests applicant rewrite lines 3-8 of the claim for clarification purposes.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2634

12. Claims 1-22 are allowed.

13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The instant application discloses a distortion compensating apparatus. An extensive search of prior art references have failed to disclose an apparatus teaching a delay time decision unit for calculating the correlation between an input signal and feedback signal while varying the phase difference between both signals accompanied by the remaining limitations of independent claims 1, 2 and 15.

### Conclusion

- 14. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:
  - a.) Drawing objections as noted above.
  - b.) Specification objections as noted above.
  - c.) Claim objections as noted above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence B Williams whose telephone number is 703-305-6969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

Art Unit: 2634

Page 6

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone number for the

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lawrence B. Williams

lbw

April 2, 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600